Baldwin Wallace University Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy

As used in this document, the term “reporting party” refers to the person impacted by alleged discrimination. The term “responding party” refers to the person who has allegedly engaged in discrimination.

Applicable Scope
Baldwin Wallace University (BW) affirms its commitment to promote the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational enterprise. All policies below are subject to resolution using the BW Equity Resolution Process (ERP), as detailed below. When the responding party is a member of the University community, the ERP is applicable regardless of the status of the reporting party who may be a member or non-member of the campus community, including students, student organizations, faculty, administrators, staff, guests, visitors, campers, etc.

Title IX Coordinator
The Chief Diversity Officer serves as the Title IX Coordinator and oversees implementation of the Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy. The Title IX Coordinator heads the Title IX and Equity Resolution teams and acts with independence and authority free of conflicts of interest. The Equity Resolution Coordinator directly oversees response to reports of behaviors which may violate this policy. To raise any concern involving a conflict of interest by the Title IX Coordinator or the Equity Resolution Coordinator, contact the University President, by phone at 440-826-2424 or rhelmer@bw.edu. To raise concerns regarding a potential conflict of interest with any other administrator involved in the ERP, please contact the Title IX Coordinator.

Reports regarding potential violations of this policy may be made internally to:
Nancy Gussett, Ph.D.
Equity Resolution Coordinator
Center for Inclusion
202C Bonds Administration Building
(440) 826-2122
ngussett@bw.edu

Inquiries about this policy and procedure may be made internally to:
Charles (CJ) Harkness
Chief Diversity Officer/ Title IX Coordinator
Center for Inclusion
202C Bonds Administration Building
(440) 826-2426
charknes@bw.edu
Inquiries may be made externally to:
Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202-1100
Customer Service Hotline #: (800) 421-3481
Facsimile: (202) 453-6012
TDD#: (877) 521-2172
Email: OCR@ed.gov
Web: http://www.ed.gov/ocr

Cleveland Office
Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
1350 Euclid Avenue, Suite 325
Cleveland, OH 44115-1812
Telephone: 216-522-4970
FAX: 216-522-2573; TDD: 800-877-8339
Email: OCR.Cleveland@ed.gov

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)
Contact: http://www.eeoc.gov/contact/

Cleveland Field Office
Anthony J. Celebrezze Federal Building
1240 E. 9th Street, Suite 3001
Cleveland, OH 44199
1-800-669-4000

**Reporting Discrimination**
Reports of discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation may be made using any of the following options. There is no time limitation on the filing of allegations. However, if the responding party is no longer subject to the University’s jurisdiction, the ability to investigate, respond and provide remedies may be more limited:

1) Report directly to Equity Resolution Coordinator
   - Nancy Gussett Ph.D., Center for Inclusion, 202 Bonds Administration Building, (440) 826-2122, ngussett@bw.edu;

2) Report online, using the reporting form posted at
   - bw.edu/incident-report-form;

3) Report to any BW faculty or staff person (Please note that all BW employees who are not functioning as counselors, medical staff, or clergy are mandated reporters of sexual misconduct)

All reports are acted upon promptly while every effort is made by the University to preserve the privacy of reports. Such reports may also be anonymous. Anonymous reports will be investigated to
determine if remedies can be provided. Additionally, all employees of the University are designated as mandated reporters and will share a report with the Equity Resolution Coordinator promptly. Confidentiality and mandated reporting are addressed more specifically below (see section 7. Confidentiality and Reporting of Offenses Under This Policy).

Reports of misconduct or discrimination committed by the Title IX Coordinator or Equity Resolution Coordinator should be reported to the University President by phone at 440-826-2424 or rhelmer@bw.edu.

**Jurisdiction**
This policy applies to behaviors that take place on the campus, at University-sponsored events and may also apply off-campus and to actions online when the Equity Resolution Coordinator determines that the off-campus conduct affects a substantial University interest. A substantial University interest is defined to include:

a) Any action that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by law. This includes, but is not limited to, single or repeat violations of any local, state or federal law;

b) Any situation where it appears that the responding party may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of self or others;

c) Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property or achievements of self or others or significantly breaches the peace and/or causes social disorder; and/or

d) Any situation that is detrimental to the educational interests of the University.

Any online postings or other electronic communication by students, including cyber-bullying, cyber-stalking, cyber-harassment, etc. occurring completely outside of the University’s control (e.g. not on University networks, websites or between University email accounts) will only be subject to this policy when those online behaviors can be shown to cause a substantial on-campus disruption. Otherwise, such communications are considered speech protected by the 1st Amendment. Remedies for such conduct will be provided, but protected speech cannot be legally subjected to discipline.

Off-campus or online discriminatory or harassing speech or behavior by employees may be regulated by the University when such speech or behavior is made in an employee’s official or work-related capacity or when those behaviors can be shown to cause a substantial on-campus disruption.

1. **BW Policy on Nondiscrimination**
Baldwin Wallace University adheres to all federal and state civil rights laws prohibiting discrimination in private institutions of higher education. BW will not discriminate against any employee, applicant for employment, student or applicant for admission on the basis of race or ethnicity, marital status, sex, age, gender expression or identity, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, disability or veteran status or any other protected category under applicable local, state or federal law, including protections for those opposing discrimination or participating in any resolution process on campus or within the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or other human rights agencies.
This policy covers nondiscrimination in employment and in access to educational opportunities. Therefore, any member of the campus community who acts to deny, deprive or limit the educational, employment, benefits and/or opportunities of any member of the campus community, guest or visitor on the basis of their actual or perceived membership in the protected classes listed above is in violation of the University policy on nondiscrimination. When brought to the attention of the University, any such discrimination will be appropriately addressed and remedied by the University according to the Equity Resolution Process described below. Non-members of the campus community who engage in discriminatory actions within University programs or on University property are not under the jurisdiction of this policy but can be subject to actions that limit their access and/or involvement with University programs as the result of their misconduct. All vendors serving the University through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures or their employers or to these policies and procedures, to which their employer has agreed to be bound.

2. BW Policy on Accommodation of Disabilities

The University is committed to full compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA and ADAAA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibit discrimination against qualified persons with disabilities, as well as other federal and state laws pertaining to individuals with disabilities. Under the ADA and its amendments, a person has a disability if they have a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity. The ADA also protects individuals who have a record of a substantially limiting impairment or who are regarded as disabled by the institution whether qualified or not. A substantial impairment is one that significantly limits or restricts a major life activity such as hearing, seeing, speaking, breathing, performing manual tasks, walking or caring for oneself.

The Assistant Vice President for Human Resources has been designated as the ADA/504 Coordinator responsible for coordinating efforts to comply with these disability laws, including investigation of any allegation of noncompliance.

a. Students with Disabilities

Baldwin Wallace University is committed to providing qualified students with disabilities with reasonable accommodations and support needed to ensure equal access to the academic programs and activities of the University.

All accommodations are made on a case-by-case basis. A student requesting any accommodation should first contact the Disability Specialist who coordinates services for students with disabilities. The Disability Specialist reviews documentation provided by the student and, in consultation with the student, determines which accommodations are appropriate to the student’s particular needs and academic programs.

b. Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to the ADA, University will provide reasonable accommodation(s) to all qualified employees with known disabilities, where their disability affects the performance of their essential job functions, except where doing so would be unduly disruptive or would result in undue hardship. An employee with a disability is responsible for requesting an accommodation in writing to the Assistant VP for Human Resources and provide appropriate documentation. The Assistant VP for Human Resources will work with the employee’s supervisor to identify which essential functions of
the position are affected by the employee’s disability and what reasonable accommodations could enable the employee to perform those duties.

3. BW Policy on Discriminatory Harassment
Students, staff, administrators, and faculty are entitled to a working environment and educational environment free of discriminatory harassment. The University’s harassment policy is not meant to inhibit or prohibit educational content or discussions inside or outside of the classroom that include germane, but controversial or sensitive subject matters protected by academic freedom. The sections below describe the specific forms of legally prohibited harassment that are also prohibited under University policy.

a. Discriminatory and Bias-Related Harassment
Harassment constitutes a form of discrimination that is prohibited by University policy as well as the law. BW condemns and will not tolerate discriminatory harassment against any employee, student, visitor or guest on the basis of any status protected by policy or law. The University will remedy all forms of harassment when reported, whether or not the harassment rises to the level of creating a hostile environment. When harassment rises to the level of creating a hostile environment, BW may also impose sanctions on the harasser through application of the Equity Resolution Process. The University’s harassment policy explicitly prohibits any form of harassment, defined as unwelcome conduct on the basis of actual or perceived membership in a protected class, by any member or group of the community. A hostile environment may be created by harassing verbal, written, graphic, or physical conduct that is severe or persistent/pervasive, and objectively offensive such that it interferes with, limits or denies the ability of an individual to participate in or benefit from educational programs or activities or employment access, benefits or opportunities.

The University reserves the right to address offensive conduct and/or harassment that 1) does not rise to the level of creating a hostile environment, or 2) that is of a generic nature not on the basis of a protected status. Addressing such behaviors may not result in the imposition of discipline under University policy, but will be addressed through respectful confrontation, remedial actions, education and/or effective conflict resolution mechanisms. For assistance with conflict resolution techniques, employees should contact the Assistant Vice President for Human Resources and students should contact the Director of Student Conduct.

b. Sexual Harassment
The Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the State of Ohio regard sexual harassment as a form of sex/gender discrimination and, therefore, as an unlawful discriminatory practice. University has adopted the following definition of sexual harassment, in order to address the special environment of an academic community, which consists not only of employer and employees, but of students as well. Sexual harassment is:

- unwelcome,
- sexual, sex-based and/or gender-based,
- verbal, written, online and/or physical conduct.

Anyone experiencing sexual harassment in any BW program is encouraged to report it immediately to the Equity Resolution Coordinator or submit a report through the means outlined earlier in this document. Remedies, education and/or training will be provided in response.
Sexual harassment may be disciplined when it takes the form of quid pro quo harassment, retaliatory harassment and/or creates a hostile environment.

A hostile environment is created when sexual harassment is:

- Severe, or
- Persistent or pervasive, and
- Objectively offensive, such that it:
  - Unreasonably interferes with, denies or limits someone’s ability to participate in or benefit from the University's educational, employment, social and/or residential programs.

Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment:

Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature by a person having power or authority over another constitutes sexual harassment when submission to such sexual conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of rating or evaluating an individual’s educational development or performance.

Some examples of possible Sexual Harassment include:

- A professor insists that a student have sex with him/her in exchange for a good grade. This is harassment regardless of whether the student accedes to the request and irrespective of whether a good grade is promised, or a bad grade is threatened.
- A student repeatedly sends sexually oriented jokes around on an email list s/he created, even when asked to stop, causing one recipient to avoid the sender on campus and in the residence hall in which they both live.
- Explicit sexual pictures are displayed in a professor’s office or on the exterior of a residence hall.
- Two supervisors frequently ‘rate’ several employees’ bodies and sex appeal, commenting suggestively about their clothing and appearance.
- A professor engages students in her class in discussions about their past sexual experiences, yet the conversation is not in any way germane to the subject matter of the class. She probes for explicit details, and demands that students answer her, though they are clearly uncomfortable and hesitant.
- An ex-girlfriend widely spreads false stories about her sex life with her former boyfriend to the clear discomfort of the boyfriend, turning him into a social pariah on campus.
- Male students take to calling a particular brunette student “Monica” because of her resemblance to Monica Lewinsky. Soon, everyone adopts this nickname for her, and she is the target of relentless remarks about cigars, the president, “sexual relations” and Weight Watchers.
- A student grabbed another student by the hair, then grabbed her breast and put his mouth on it. While this is sexual harassment, it is also a form of sexual violence.
c. Sexual Misconduct
State law defines various violent and/or non-consensual sexual acts as crimes. While some of these acts may have parallels in criminal law, University has defined categories of sex/gender discrimination as sexual misconduct, as stated below, for which action under this policy may be imposed. Generally speaking, University considers Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse violations to be the most serious of these offenses, and therefore typically imposes the most severe sanctions, including suspension or expulsion for students and termination for employees. However, University reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any act of sexual misconduct or other sex/gender-based offenses, including intimate partner (dating and/or domestic) violence, non-consensual sexual contact and/or stalking based on the facts and circumstances of the particular allegation. Any student who is found to have perpetrated any form of sexual misconduct against a minor child will likely receive a recommended sanction ranging from warning to expulsion depending on the severity of the incident and taking into account any previous campus or workplace conduct code violations. Any BW employee who is found to have perpetrated any form of sexual misconduct against a minor child will likely receive a recommended sanction ranging from suspension to termination, depending on the severity of the incident, and taking into account any previous campus or workplace conduct code violations.

Acts of sexual misconduct may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the sex, sexual orientation and/or gender identity of those involved. The following definitions are important in understanding the verbiage used in the policy statements which articulate conduct which amounts to sexual misconduct.

Key Definitions for Understanding BW’s Policy on Sexual Misconduct:

**Force:** is the use of physical violence and/or imposing on someone physically to gain sexual access. Force also includes threats, intimidation (implied threats) and coercion that overcome resistance or produce consent (“Have sex with me or I’ll hit you.” “Okay, don’t hit me, I’ll do what you want.”).

**Coercion:** is unreasonable pressure for sexual activity. Coercive behavior differs from seductive behavior based on the type of pressure someone uses to get consent from another. When someone makes clear to you that they do not want sex, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive.

NOTE: Silence or the absence of resistance alone is not consent. There is no requirement on a party to resist the sexual advance or request, but resistance is a clear demonstration of non-consent. The presence of consent is not demonstrated by the absence of resistance. Sexual activity that is forced is by definition non-consensual, but non-consensual sexual activity is not by definition forced.
**Consent:** Consent is knowing, voluntary, and clear permission by word or action to engage in mutually agreed upon sexual activity. Since individuals may experience the same interaction in different ways, it is the responsibility of each party to make certain that the other has consented before engaging in the activity. For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct. Consent can be withdrawn once given, as long as the withdrawal is clearly communicated.

Consent to some sexual contact (such as kissing or fondling) cannot be presumed to be consent for other sexual activity (such as intercourse). A current or previous dating relationship is not sufficient to constitute consent. The existence of consent is based on the totality of the circumstances, including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar previous patterns that may be evidenced.

In the State of Ohio, minors under the age of 16 years cannot consent to sexual activity with adults 18 years and older. This means that sexual contact by an adult with a person younger than 16 years old may be a crime, and a potential violation of this policy, even if the minor wanted to engage in the act. Reports of sexual contact, intercourse or exploitation of minors under the age of 16 will be reported to the appropriate law enforcement authorities promptly.

**Incapacitation:** A person cannot consent if they are unable to understand what is happening or is disoriented, helpless, asleep or unconscious for any reason, including due to alcohol or other drugs. An individual who engages in sexual activity when the individual knows, or should know, that the other person is physically or mentally incapacitated has violated this policy.

It is not an excuse that the responding party was intoxicated and, therefore, did not realize the incapacity of the reporting party.

Incapacitation is defined as a state where someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing/informed consent (e.g., to understand the “who, what, when, where, why or how” of their sexual interaction). This policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from mental disability, involuntary physical restraint and/or from the taking of incapacitating drugs.

**Violations of the Sexual Misconduct Policy Include:**

i. **Sexual Harassment (as defined in section b above)**

ii. **Non-Consensual Sexual Contact**
   Defined as:
   - any intentional sexual touching
   - however slight
• with any object
• by a person upon another person
• that is without consent and/or by force

Sexual touching includes:
• Intentional contact with the breasts, groin, or genitals, mouth or touching another with any of these body parts, or making another touch you or themselves with or on any of these body parts; or
• Any other bodily contact in a sexual manner.

iii. Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse
Defined as:
• any sexual intercourse
• however slight
• with any object
• by a person upon another person
• that is without consent and/or by force

Sexual intercourse includes:
• Vaginal or anal penetration by a penis, tongue, finger or object, or oral copulation (mouth to genital contact) no matter how slight the penetration or contact.

iv. Sexual Exploitation
Sexual Exploitation refers to a situation in which a person takes non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another, and that behavior does not otherwise fall within the definitions of Sexual Harassment, Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse or Non-Consensual Sexual Contact. Examples of Sexual Exploitation include, but are not limited to:
• Sexual voyeurism (such as watching a person undressing, using the bathroom or engaged in sexual acts without the consent of the person observed).
• Invasion of sexual privacy.
• Taking pictures or video or audio recording another in a sexual act, or in any other private activity without the consent of all involved in the activity, or exceeding the boundaries of consent (such as allowing another person to hide in a closet and observe sexual activity, or disseminating sexual pictures without the photographed person’s consent).
• Prostitution.
• Sexual exploitation also includes engaging in sexual activity with another person while knowingly infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), a sexually transmitted disease (STD) or infection (STI) without informing the other person of the infection.
• Administering alcohol or drugs (such as “date rape” drugs) to another person without his or her knowledge or consent (assuming the act is not completed).
• Exposing one’s genitals in non-consensual circumstances.
- Sexually-based stalking and/or bullying may also be forms of sexual exploitation.

vi. Examples of Sexual Misconduct

- Amanda and Bill meet at a party. They spend the evening dancing and getting to know each other. Bill convinces Amanda to come up to his room. From 11:00pm until 3:00am, Bill uses every line he can think of to convince Amanda to have sex with him, but she adamantly refuses. He keeps at her, and begins to question her religious convictions, and accuses her of being “a prude.” Finally, it seems to Bill that her resolve is weakening, and he convinces her to give him a “hand job” (hand to genital contact). Amanda would never had done it but for Bill’s incessant advances. He feels that he successfully seduced her, and that she wanted to do it all along, but was playing shy and hard to get. Why else would she have come up to his room alone after the party? If she really didn’t want it, she could have left. Bill is responsible for violating the university Non-Consensual Sexual Contact policy. It is likely that campus decision-makers would find that the degree and duration of the pressure Bill applied to Amanda are unreasonable. Bill coerced Amanda into performing unwanted sexual touching upon him. Where sexual activity is coerced, it is forced. Consent is not valid when forced. Sex without consent is sexual misconduct.

- Kevin and John are at a party. Kevin is not sure how much John has been drinking, but he is pretty sure it’s a lot. After the party, he walks John to his room, and John comes on to Kevin, initiating sexual activity. Kevin asks him if he is really up to this, and John says yes. Clothes go flying, and they end up in John’s bed. Suddenly, John runs for the bathroom. When he returns, his face is pale, and Kevin thinks he may have thrown up. John gets back into bed, and they begin to have sexual intercourse. Kevin is having a good time, though he can’t help but notice that John seems pretty groggy and passive, and he thinks John may have even passed out briefly during the sex, but he does not let that stop him. When Kevin runs into John the next day, he thanks him for the wild night. John remembers nothing and decides to make a report to the Dean. This is a violation of the Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse Policy. Kevin should have known that John was incapable of making a rational, reasonable decision about sex. Even if John seemed to consent, Kevin was well aware that John had consumed a large amount of alcohol, and Kevin thought John was physically ill, and that he passed out during sex. Kevin should be held accountable for taking advantage of John in his condition. This is not the level of respectful conduct the university expects.

vii. Other Civil Rights Offenses

In addition to the forms of sexual misconduct described above, the following behaviors are also prohibited as forms of discrimination when the act is based upon the reporting party’s actual or perceived membership in a class protected by law or University policy.
• Threatening or causing physical harm, extreme verbal abuse, or other conduct which threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person;

• Discrimination, defined as actions that deprive, limit or deny other members of the community of educational or employment access, benefits or opportunities;

• Intimidation, defined as implied threats or acts that cause an unreasonable fear of harm in another;

• Hazing, defined as acts likely to cause physical or psychological harm or social ostracism to any person within the university community, when related to the admission, initiation, pledging, joining, or any other group-affiliation activity (as defined further in the Hazing Policy);

• Bullying, defined as
  o Repeated and/or severe
  o Aggressive behavior
  o Likely to intimidate or intentionally hurt, control or diminish another person, physically or mentally
  o That is not speech or conduct otherwise protected by the 1st Amendment.

• Intimate Partner Violence, defined as violence or abuse between those in an intimate relationship to each other;
  o Examples:
    ▪ A boyfriend shoves his girlfriend into a wall upon seeing her talking to a male friend. This physical assault based in jealousy is a violation of the Intimate Partner Violence policy.
    ▪ An ex-girlfriend shames her female partner, threatening to out her as a lesbian if she doesn’t give the ex another chance. Psychological abuse is a form of Intimate Partner Violence.
    ▪ Married employees are witnessed in the parking garage, with one partner slapping and scratching the other in the midst of an argument.

• Stalking
  o Stalking:
    ▪ Repetitive and Menacing
    ▪ Pursuit, following, harassing and/or interfering with the peace and/or safety of another
  o Examples of Stalking
    ▪ A graduate student working as an on-campus tutor received flowers and gifts delivered to their office. After learning the gifts were from a student, they recently tutored, the graduate student thanked the student and stated that it was not necessary and would appreciate if the gift deliveries stop. The student then started leaving notes of love and gratitude on the graduate assistant’s car, both on-campus and at home. Asked again to stop, the student stated by email: “You can ask me to stop, but I’m not giving up. We are meant to be together, and I’ll do
anything necessary to make you have the feelings for me that I have for you.” When the tutor did not respond, the student emailed again, “You cannot escape me. I will track you to the ends of the earth. We are meant to be together”.

- Any other University policies may fall within this section when a violation is motivated by the actual or perceived membership of the reporting party’s sex or gender.

Sanctions for the above-listed “Other Civil Rights Behaviors” behaviors range from reprimand through expulsion (students) or termination of employment.

4. Retaliation

Retaliation is defined as any adverse action taken against a person participating in a protected activity because of their participation in that protected activity. Retaliation against an individual for alleging harassment, supporting a party bringing an allegation or for assisting in providing information relevant to a claim of harassment is a serious violation of University policy and will be treated as another possible instance of harassment or discrimination. Acts of alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Equity Resolution Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. BW is prepared to take appropriate steps to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.

Examples of Retaliation:

- Student-athlete A files an allegation against a coach for sexual harassment; the coach subsequently cuts the student-athlete’s playing time in half without a legitimate justification
- A faculty member complains of gender inequity in pay within her department; the Department Chair then revokes his prior approval allowing her to attend a national conference, citing the faculty member’s tendency to “ruffle feathers.”
- A student from Organization A participates in a sexual misconduct hearing against the responding individual – also a member of Organization A; the student is subsequently removed as a member of Organization A because he participated in the hearing.

5. Amorous or Sexual Relations with Students and Subordinates Policy

Faculty

Faculty members exercise power over students, whether in giving them praise or criticism, evaluating them, writing recommendations for their further studies or their future employment, or supervising their work. Because of this imbalance of power, the University will view it as professionally unethical if faculty members (full-time or adjunct) engage in amorous and/or sexual relations with students enrolled in their classes or subject to their supervision, even when both parties appear to have consented to the relationship. Such relationships cannot be condoned at Baldwin Wallace University.

Administrators and Staff

Implicit in the idea of professionalism is the recognition by those in positions of authority with others that the issue of power is present. Those with authority must understand that the power entrusted in them cannot be abused nor seem to be abused. Therefore, amorous relationships
which might be appropriate in other circumstances are contrary to professional conduct when
they occur between administrative or staff members and any student or employee for whom he
or she has a professional responsibility. Such relationships undermine the trust that needs to be
present in such a working environment. Therefore, Baldwin Wallace University requires that
administrators and staff refrain from initiating or conducting a consensual amorous relationship
with any person over whom they have an evaluative position (i.e. any responsibility to advise,
supervise, counsel, etc.). Any pre-existing relationship that involves any subordinate and
administrator or staff person must be revealed by the University employee to his or her
supervisor so that he/she will not be placed in direct involvement with an evaluative
relationship of any kind with the subordinate.

There are inherent risks in any romantic or sexual relationship between individuals in unequal
positions (such as faculty and student, supervisor and employee). These relationships may be
less consensual than perceived by the individual whose position confers power. The
relationship also may be viewed in different ways by each of the parties, particularly in
retrospect. Furthermore, circumstances may change, and conduct that was previously welcome
may become unwelcome. Even when both parties have consented at the outset to a romantic
or sexual involvement, this past consent may not remove grounds for a later charge of a
violation of applicable sections of this policy. The University/College does not wish to interfere
with private choices regarding personal relationships when these relationships do not interfere
with the goals and policies of the University/College. For the personal protection of members
of this community, relationships in which power differentials are inherent (faculty-student, staff-
student, administrator-student) are generally discouraged.

Consensual romantic or sexual relationships in which one party maintains a direct supervisory
or evaluative role over the other party are unethical. Therefore, persons with direct supervisory
or evaluative responsibilities who are involved in such relationships must bring those
relationships to the timely attention of their supervisor, and will likely result in the necessity to
remove the employee from the supervisory or evaluative responsibilities, or shift a party out of
being supervised or evaluated by someone with whom they have established a consensual
relationship. This includes RAs and students over whom they have direct responsibility. While
no relationships are prohibited by this policy, failure to timely self-report such relationships to a
supervisor as required can result in disciplinary action for an employee.

6. Remedial Action

Upon notice and review of alleged discrimination, BW will implement initial remedial, responsive
and/or protective actions upon notice of alleged harassment, retaliation and/or discrimination.
Such actions could include but are not limited to: no contact orders, providing counseling and/or
medial services, academic support, living arrangement adjustments, transportation
accommodations, student financial aid counseling, providing a campus escort, academic or work
schedule and assignment accommodations, safety planning, referral to campus and community
support resources.

The University will take additional prompt remedial and/or disciplinary action with respect to any
member of the community, guest or visitor upon a finding that they have engaged in harassing or
discriminatory behavior or retaliation.

The BW will maintain as confidential any accommodations or protective measures, provided
confidentiality does not impair the University’s ability to provide the accommodations or protective
measures.
Procedures for handling reported incidents are fully described below.

7. Confidentiality and Reporting of Offenses Under This Policy
All University employees (faculty, staff, administrators) are expected to report actual or suspected discrimination or harassment to appropriate officials immediately, though there are some limited exceptions. In order to make informed choices, it is important to be aware of confidentiality and mandatory reporting requirements when consulting campus resources. On campus, some resources may maintain confidentiality – meaning they are not required to report actual or suspected discrimination or harassment to appropriate university officials – thereby offering options and advice without any obligation to inform an outside agency or campus official unless a reporting party has requested information to be shared. Other resources exist for reporting parties to report crimes and policy violations and these resources will take action when an incident is reported to them. The following describes the reporting options at BW:

a. Confidential Reporting
If a reporting party would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential, the reporting party may:

- For on-campus licensed professional counselors and staff:
  - Call or visit Counseling Services by calling 440-826-2180 or going to the Counseling Center on the corner of Beech and Bagley. You may also access a wealth of resources from the Counseling Center at: http://www.bw.edu/resources/counseling/sexualassaultinfo/

- For on-campus health service providers and staff:
  - Call or visit Health Services by calling 440 826-2178 or going to the Health Center on the corner of Beech and Bagley. More resources are available at: http://www.bw.edu/resources/health/

- For the University Chaplain working within the scope of their licensure or ordination
  - Call or The University Chaplain at (440) 826-2175 or visit at the Lindsay Crossman Chapel, 56 Seminary St. Berea, OH 44017

- For on-campus Licensed Professional Athletic Trainers (please note that student trainers are mandated to report allegations of discrimination including sexual misconduct to their licensed supervisor)
  - Call the Head Athletic Trainer at 440- 826-8570 or visit https://www.bwyellowjackets.com/athletics/athletic_training/staff for more information.

- For a victim advocate or trauma therapist (non-BW employee)
  - The Cleveland Rape Crisis Center (CRCC) provides services to University community members on and off campus and can be reached by calling or texting the Crisis and Support Hotline at (216) 619-6192 or (440) 423-2020. For information about CRCC services on campus Click Here or enter the following link into your browser: https://clevelandrapecrisis.org/services/campus-services/baldwin-wallace/

All of the above-listed individuals will maintain confidentiality except in extreme cases of immediacy of threat or danger or abuse of a minor. Campus counselors are available to help free of charge and can see students on an emergency basis during posted hours. The Employee Assistance Program (EAP) is also a resource for faculty and staff. Information on the EAP can be found in the Human Resources Office. University employees listed above will submit anonymous statistical information for Clery Act purposes unless they believe it would be harmful to their client, patient or parishioner.
b. Formal Reporting Options
All University employees have a duty to report, unless they fall under the “Confidential Reporting” section above. Reporting parties may want to consider carefully whether they share personally identifiable details with non-confidential employees, as those details must be shared with the Equity Resolution Coordinator. Employees must promptly share all details of the reports they receive. Generally, climate surveys, classroom writing assignments or discussions, human subjects research, or events such as Take Back the Night marches or speak-outs do not provide notice that must be reported to the Coordinator by employees, unless the reporting party clearly indicates that they wish a report to be made. Remedial actions may result from such disclosures without formal University action.

If a reporting party does not wish for their name to be shared, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a formal resolution to be pursued, the reporting party may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate that request in light of the duty to ensure the safety of the campus and comply with federal law. Note that the University’s ability to remedy and respond to a reported incident may be limited if the reporting party does not want the institution to proceed with an investigation and/or the Equity Resolution Process.

In cases indicating pattern, predation, threat, weapons and/or violence, the University will likely be unable to honor a request for confidentiality. In cases where the reporting party requests confidentiality and the circumstances allow the University to honor that request, the BW will offer interim supports and remedies to the reporting party and the community but will not otherwise pursue formal action. A reporting party has the right, and can expect, to have allegations taken seriously by Baldwin Wallace University when formally reported, and to have those incidents investigated and properly resolved through these procedures.

Formal reporting still affords privacy to the reporter, and only a small group of officials who have a specific “need to know” (depending on the details of the case) will be informed. This includes but is not limited to appropriate staff in Academic Affairs, Athletics, Human Resources, Safety & Security, Student Affairs, and The Center for Inclusion. Information will be shared as necessary with investigators, witnesses and the responding party. Additionally, when the Equity Resolution Coordinator is working with a reporting party to ensure the least disruption possible to the student’s academic progress, they may, with the reporting parties permission, make a disclosure (with only absolutely necessary details) to the Student Success Network Team and/or the Faculty Fellows for Student Success. The circle of people with this knowledge will be kept as tight as possible to preserve all party’s rights and privacy.

Finally, anonymous reports can be made by victims and/or third parties using the online reporting form posted at bw.edu/incident-report-form, or the Ethics and Compliance Hotline at 440-826-8557. Note that these anonymous reports may prompt a need for the institution to investigate.

Failure of a non-confidential employee, as described in this section, to report an incident or incidents of sex/gender harassment or discrimination of which they become aware is a violation of University/College policy and can be subject to disciplinary action for failure to comply.
8. Federal Timely Warning Obligations
Parties reporting sexual misconduct should be aware that under the Clery Act, University administrators must issue timely warnings for incidents reported to them that pose a substantial threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the campus community. The University will ensure that a victim’s name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

9. False Allegations
Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under this policy, as opposed to allegations which, even if erroneous, are made in good faith, are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

10. Amnesty for Reporting Party and Witnesses
The University community encourages the reporting of misconduct and crimes by reporting parties and witnesses. Sometimes, reporting parties or witnesses are hesitant to report to University officials or participate in resolution processes because they fear that they themselves may be accused of policy violations, such as underage drinking at the time of the incident. It is in the best interests of this community that reporting parties choose to report to university officials, and that witnesses come forward to share what they know. To encourage reporting, University pursues a policy of offering reporting parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations related to the incident.

Students: Sometimes, students are hesitant to offer assistance to others for fear that they may get themselves in trouble (for example, a student who has been drinking underage might hesitate to help take a sexual misconduct victim to the Campus Police). The University pursues a policy of amnesty for students who offer help to others in need. [While policy violations cannot be overlooked, the university will provide educational options, rather than punishment, to those who offer their assistance to others in need.]

Employees: Sometimes, employees are also hesitant report harassment or discrimination they have experienced for fear that they may get themselves in trouble. For example, an employee who has violated the consensual relationship policy and is then assaulted in the course of that relationship might hesitate to report the incident to University/College officials. The institution may, at its discretion, offer employee reporting parties amnesty from such policy violations (typically more minor policy violations) related to the incident. Amnesty may also be granted to witnesses on a case-by-case basis.

11. Parental Notification (allegations involving students)
The University reserves the right to notify parents/guardians of dependent students regarding any health or safety risk, change in student status or conduct situation, particularly alcohol and other drug violations. The university may also notify parents/guardians of non-dependent students who are under age 21 of alcohol and/or drug policy violations. Where a student is non-dependent, the University will contact parents/guardians to inform them of situations in which there is a significant and articulable health and/or safety risk. The University also reserves the right to designate which university officials have a need to know about incidents that fall within this policy, pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act.
12. Federal Statistical Reporting Obligations

Certain campus officials – those deemed Campus Security Authorities - have a duty to report sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking for federal statistical reporting purposes (Clery Act). All personally identifiable information is kept confidential, but statistical information must be passed along to [campus law enforcement] regarding the type of incident and its general location (on or off-campus, in the surrounding area, but no addresses are given) for publication in the Annual Security Report. This report helps to provide the community with a clear picture of the extent and nature of campus crime, to ensure greater community safety. Mandated federal statistical reporters include: Student Affairs/Student Conduct, Safety & Security, Berea Police Department, coaches, athletic directors, Residence Life staff, Student Activities staff, Human Resources staff, advisors to student organizations and any other official with significant responsibility for student and campus activities. The information to be shared includes the date, the location of the incident (using Clery location categories) and the Clery crime category. This reporting protects the identity of the victim and may be done anonymously. Please note that statistical reporting does not mitigate the responsibility of most employees who are mandatory reporters. Employees (with the exception of those listed as confidential advisors) must make full disclosure of individual reports to the Equity Resolution Coordinator promptly.
EQUITY RESOLUTION PROCESS FOR ALLEGATIONS OF HARASSMENT, SEXUAL MISCONDUCT AND OTHER FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION

Baldwin Wallace University will act on any formal or informal allegation or notice of violation of the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination, that is received by the Equity Resolution Coordinator or a member of the administration, faculty, or other employee. The procedures described below apply to all allegations of harassment or discrimination on the basis of protected class (as outlined in the Baldwin Wallace University Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy) involving students, staff or faculty members. These procedures may also be used to address collateral misconduct occurring in conjunction with harassing or discriminatory conduct (e.g.: vandalism, physical abuse of another, etc.). All other allegations of misconduct unrelated to incidents covered by this policy will be addressed through the procedures elaborated in the respective student, faculty and staff handbooks.

Overview

Upon notice to the Equity Resolution Coordinator, this resolution process involves a prompt preliminary inquiry to determine if there is reasonable cause to believe the nondiscrimination policy has been violated. If so, the University will initiate a confidential investigation that is thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt and fair. The investigation and the subsequent resolution process determine whether the nondiscrimination policy has been violated. If so, the University will promptly implement effective remedies designed to end the discrimination, prevent its recurrence and address its effects.

1. Equity Resolution Process (ERP)

Allegations under the policy on nondiscrimination are resolved using the ERP. Members of the ERP Pool are annually trained faculty and staff selected by the Equity Resolution and Title IX Coordinators. The list of ERP Pool members is announced in an annual distribution of this policy to campus. Periodically, members may be added or removed during the course of an academic year. The up to date list of members and a description of the Pool can be found at bw.edu/report-misconduct. Members of the ERP pool are trained in all aspects of the resolution process, and can serve in any of the following roles, at the direction of the Equity Resolution Coordinator:

- To investigate allegations (Pool members designated to conduct investigations are identified in the ERP Pool list and description)
- To serve on hearing panels for allegations
- To serve on appeal panels for allegations

The Title IX Coordinator appoints the Equity Resolution Coordinator and the ERP Pool members, who report to the Equity Resolution Coordinator. ERP pool members receive annual training organized by the Equity Resolution Coordinator, including a review of University policies and procedures as well as applicable federal and state laws and regulations so that they are able to appropriately address allegations, provide accurate information to members of the community, protect safety and promote accountability. This training will include, but is not limited to: how to appropriately remedy, investigate, render findings and determine appropriate sanctions in reference to all forms of harassment and discrimination allegations; the University’s Discrimination and Harassment Policies and Procedures (including Sexual Misconduct); confidentiality and privacy; and applicable laws, regulations and federal regulatory guidance. All ERP Pool members are required to attend annual training to be eligible to serve.

The Equity Resolution Process Pool includes:
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• At least two members of the faculty
• At least one representative from Campus Safety
• At least one representative from Human Resources
• At least one representative from Residence Life

ERP pool members are usually appointed to one-year renewable terms. Appointments to the pool should be made with attention to representation of groups protected by the harassment and non-discrimination policy. Individuals who are interested in serving as investigators are encouraged to contact the Title IX Coordinator. No member of the pool may be a practicing attorney.

2. Reporting Misconduct

Any member of the community, guest or visitor who believes that the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination has been violated should contact the Equity Resolution Coordinator.

It is also possible for employees to notify a supervisor, or for students to notify an administrative advisor or faculty member. Any member of the community, including visitors, may contact BW Safety and Security to make a report. These individuals will in turn notify the Equity Resolution Coordinator. The University website also includes a reporting form which can be found at THIS LINK which may serve to initiate the resolution process.

All employees receiving reports of a potential violation of University policy are expected to promptly contact the Equity Resolution Coordinator, within 24 hours of becoming aware of a report or incident. All initial contacts will be treated with privacy: specific information on any allegations received by any party will be reported to the Equity Resolution Coordinator, but subject to the University’s obligation to redress violations, every effort will be made to maintain the privacy of those initiating an allegation. In all cases, University will give consideration to the reporting party with respect to how the reported misconduct is pursued, but reserves the right, when necessary to protect the community, to investigate and pursue a resolution even when a reporting party chooses not to initiate or participate in the resolution process.

3. Preliminary Inquiry

Following receipt of notice or a report of misconduct, the Equity Resolution Coordinator engages in a preliminary inquiry to determine if there is reasonable cause to believe the nondiscrimination policy has been violated. The preliminary inquiry is typically 3-5 business days in duration. This inquiry may also serve to help the Equity Resolution Coordinator to determine if the allegations evidence violence, threat, pattern, predation and/or weapon, in the event that the reporting party has asked for no action to be taken. In any case where violence, threat, pattern, predation, and/or weapon is not evidenced, the Title IX Coordinator may respect a reporting party’s request for no action and will investigate only so far as necessary to determine appropriate remedies. As necessary, the University reserves the right to initiate resolution proceedings without a formal report or participation by the reporting party.

In cases where the reporting party wishes to proceed, or the University determines it must proceed, and the preliminary inquiry shows that reasonable cause exists, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will direct a formal investigation to commence and the allegation will be resolved through one of three processes discussed briefly here and in greater detail below:

• Conflict Resolution – typically used for less serious offenses and only when both parties agree to conflict resolution
• Informal Resolution – a resolution without a hearing panel, or
• Formal Resolution – a resolution of contested allegations with a hearing panel.
The process followed considers the preference of the parties but is ultimately determined at the discretion of the Equity Resolution Coordinator. Conflict Resolution may only occur if selected by all parties. The parties can elect for Informal Resolution, but Informal Resolution may also apply if the responding party accepts responsibility for all alleged violations of policy. If either party or both parties select Formal Resolution, or the Equity Resolution Coordinator determines that Formal Resolution is appropriate, the allegation will be addressed using the Formal Resolution option.

If conflict resolution is desired by the reporting party, and appears appropriate given the nature of the alleged behavior, then the report does not proceed to investigation, unless a pattern of misconduct is suspected or there is an actual or perceived threat of further harm to the community or any of its members.

Once a formal investigation is commenced, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will provide written notification of the investigation to the responding party and the reporting party at an appropriate time during the investigation. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person; mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official University records; or emailed to the parties’ University-issued email account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered. The reporting party is typically copied on such correspondence. The University aims to complete all investigations promptly. When circumstances dictate a delay in process, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will work to ensure that delays in the process are limited to time that allows for equity in the process and circumstances that make prompt resolution difficult (i.e., reports that come at the end of term, time for a reporting or responding party to gather evidence from third-party sources, etc.).

If, during the preliminary inquiry or at any point during the formal investigation, the Equity Resolution Coordinator determines that there is no reasonable cause to believe that policy has been violated, the process will end unless the reporting party requests that the Equity Resolution Coordinator makes an extraordinary determination to re-open the investigation or to forward the matter for a hearing. This decision lies in the sole discretion of the Equity Resolution Coordinator.

4. Interim Remedies/Actions
The Equity Resolution Coordinator may provide interim remedies intended to address the short-term effects of harassment, discrimination and/or retaliation, i.e., to redress harm to the reporting party and the community and to prevent further violations.

These remedies may include, but are not limited to:
- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Education to the community
- Altering the housing situation of the responding party (resident student or resident employee (or the reporting party, if desired))
- Altering work arrangements for employees
- Providing campus escorts
- Providing transportation accommodations
- Implementing contact limitations between the parties
- Offering adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

The University may interim suspend a student, employee or organization pending the completion of ERP investigation and procedures, particularly when in the judgment of the Equity Resolution
Coordinator (in consultation with the Title IX Coordinator and other appropriate University administrators) the safety or well-being of any member(s) of the campus community may be jeopardized by the presence on-campus of the responding party or the ongoing activity of a student organization whose behavior is in question. In all cases in which an interim suspension is imposed, the student, employee or student organization will be given the option to meet with the Equity Resolution Coordinator prior to such suspension being imposed, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, to show cause why the suspension should not be implemented. The Equity Resolution Coordinator has discretion to implement or stay an interim suspension and to determine its conditions and duration. Decisions regarding interim suspensions of students will be made in consultation with the Vice President of Student Affairs. Decisions regarding interim suspensions of faculty and staff will be made in consultation with the appropriate Vice President or the University President. Violation of an interim suspension under this policy will be grounds for expulsion or termination.

During an interim suspension or administrative leave, a student or employee may be denied access to University housing and/or the University campus/facilities/events. As determined by the Equity Resolution Coordinator, this restriction can include classes and/or all other University activities or privileges for which the student might otherwise be eligible. At the discretion of the Equity Resolution Coordinator, alternative coursework options may be pursued to ensure as minimal an impact as possible on the responding party.

The institution will maintain as confidential any interim actions or protective measures, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution’s ability to provide the interim actions or protective measures.

5. Investigation
Once the decision is made to commence a formal investigation, the Equity Resolution Coordinator appoints ERP pool members to conduct the investigation (typically using a team of two ERP investigators), usually within one week of determining that an investigation should proceed. Investigations are completed expeditiously, normally within fifteen (15) business days, though some investigations take weeks or even months, depending on the nature, extent and complexity of the allegations, availability of witnesses, police involvement, etc.

The University may undertake a short delay its investigation (several days to weeks, to allow evidence collection) when criminal charges on the basis of the same behaviors that invoke this process are being investigated. The University will promptly resume its investigation and resolution processes once notified by law enforcement that the initial evidence collection process is complete. University action will not typically be altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the same incident have been filed or that charges have been dismissed or reduced.

All investigations will be thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt and fair. Investigations entail interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses, obtaining available evidence and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

The investigators will typically take the following steps, if not already completed (not necessarily in order):

- In coordination with campus partners (e.g.: The Equity Resolution Coordinator), initiate or assist with any necessary remedial actions;
- Determine the identity and contact information of the reporting party;
- Identify all policies allegedly violated;
• Assist the Equity Resolution Coordinator with an immediate preliminary inquiry to
determine if there is reasonable cause to believe the responding party has violated
policy.
  ○ If there is insufficient evidence to support reasonable cause, the inquiry should
be closed with no further action;
• Meet with the reporting party to finalize their statement;
• Prepare the notice of allegations [charges] on the basis of the preliminary inquiry;
• Commence a thorough, reliable and impartial investigation by developing a strategic
investigation plan, including a witness list, evidence list, intended timeframe, and order
of interviews for all witnesses and the responding party, who may be given notice prior
to or at the time of the interview;
• Prepare the notice of allegation [charges] on the basis of the preliminary inquiry;
• Meet with the reporting party to finalize their statement, if necessary;
• If possible, provide written notification to the parties prior to their interviews that they may
have the assistance of an advisor of their choosing present for all meetings attended by the
advisee;
• Provide reporting party and responding party with a written description of the alleged
violation(s), a list of all policies allegedly violated, a description of the applicable
procedures and a statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could
result;
• Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the reporting party and the
responding party with a list of witnesses whose information will be used to render a
finding;
• Allow each party the opportunity to suggest questions they wish the investigators to
ask of the other party and witnesses.
• Provide parties with all relevant evidence to be used in rendering a determination and
provide each with a full and fair opportunity to address that evidence prior to the ERP
hearing;
• Complete the investigation promptly, and without unreasonable deviation from the
intended timeline;
• Provide regular updates to the reporting party throughout the investigation, and to the
responding party, as appropriate;
• Once the report is complete, the report is shared with the parties for their review and
comment. The investigators may incorporate feedback from the parties as appropriate.
• Investigators will finalize and present the Investigation Report to the Equity Resolution
Coordinator, who will distribute the report to the Equity Resolution pool members who
have been selected as panelists for the hearing.

At any point during the investigation, if it is determined there is no reasonable cause to believe that
University policy has been violated, the Equity Resolution Coordinator has authority to terminate
the investigation and end resolution proceedings.
Witnesses (as distinguished from the parties) are expected to cooperate with and participate in the
University’s investigation and the Equity Resolution Process. Any witness who declines to participate in or
cooperate with an investigation will not be permitted to offer evidence or testimony later in a hearing (if a
hearing is held). Failure of a witness to cooperate with and/or participate in the investigation or Equity
Resolution Process constitutes a violation of policy and may be subject to discipline. Witnesses may
provide written statements in lieu of interviews during the investigation and may be interviewed remotely
by phone, Skype (or similar technology), if they cannot be interviewed in person or if the investigators
determine that timeliness or efficiency dictate a need for remote interviewing. Parties who elect not to participate in the investigation or to withhold information from the investigation will not have the opportunity to offer evidence during the hearing and/or appeal stages of the process if it could have been offered during the investigation. Failure to offer evidence prior to an appeal does not constitute grounds for appeal on the basis of new evidence.

No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings or other Equity Resolution Process proceedings.

6. Advisors
Each party is allowed to have an advisor of their choice present with them for all ERP meetings and proceedings, from intake through to final determination. The parties may select whomever they wish to serve as their advisor as long as the advisor is eligible and available, and usually not otherwise involved in the resolution process, such as serving as a witness. The advisor may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney or any other supporter a party chooses to advise them who is available and eligible. Witnesses cannot also serve as advisors. The parties may choose advisors from inside or outside the campus community. The parties may choose their advisor from the ERP pool, choose a non-trained advisor from outside the pool, if preferred, or proceed without an advisor.

The parties may be accompanied by their advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and interviews. Advisors should help their advisees prepare for each meeting, and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity and in good faith. The University cannot guarantee equal advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not, or cannot afford an attorney, the University is not obligated to provide one. Additionally, responding parties may wish to contact organizations such as:

- FACE (http://www.facecampusequality.org)
- SAVE (http://www.saveservices.org).

Reporting parties may wish to contact organizations such as:

- The Cleveland Rape Crisis Center (https://clevelandrapecrisis.org/)
- The Victim Rights Law Center (http://www.victimrights.org), or the

All advisors are subject to the same campus rules, whether they are attorneys or not. Advisors may not address campus officials in a meeting or interview unless invited to. The advisor may not make a presentation or represent the reporting party or the responding party during any meeting or proceeding and may not speak on behalf of the advisee to the investigators or hearing panelists. The parties are expected to ask and respond to questions on their own behalf, without representation by their advisor. Advisors may confer quietly with their advisees or in writing as necessary, as long as they do not disrupt the process. For longer or more involved discussions, the parties and their advisors should ask for breaks or step out of meetings to allow for private conversation. Advisors may be given an opportunity to meet in advance of any interview or meeting with the administrative officials conducting that interview or meeting. This pre-meeting should be requested by the party who is being advised. This meeting will allow advisors to clarify any questions they may have and allows the University an opportunity to clarify the role the advisor is
expected to take. Advisors are expected to refrain from interference with the investigation and resolution. Any advisor who steps out of their role will be warned once and only once. If the advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the advisor role, the advisor will be asked to leave the meeting. When an advisor is removed from a meeting, that meeting will typically continue without the advisor present. Subsequently, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will determine whether the advisor may be reinstated, may be replaced by a different advisor, or whether the party will forfeit the right to an advisor for the remainder of the process.

The University expects that the parties will wish to share documentation related to the allegations with their advisors. The University provides a consent form that authorizes such sharing. The parties must complete this form before the University is able to share records with an advisor, though parties may share the information directly with their advisor if they wish. Advisors are expected to maintain the privacy of the records shared with them. These records may not be shared with 3rd parties, disclosed publicly, or used for purposes not explicitly authorized by the University. The University may seek to restrict the role of any advisor who does not respect the sensitive nature of the process or who fails to abide by the University’s privacy expectations.

The University expects an advisor to adjust their schedule to allow them to attend University meetings when scheduled. The University does not typically change scheduled meetings to accommodate an advisor’s inability to attend. The University will, however, make reasonable provisions to allow an advisor who cannot attend in person to attend a meeting by telephone, video and/or virtual meeting technologies as may be convenient and available.

A party may elect to change advisors during the process and is not locked into using the same advisor throughout. In such cases, the other party may have two advisors as well.

The parties must advise the investigators of the identity of their advisor at least one (1) day before the date of their first meeting with investigators (or as soon as possible if a more expeditious meeting is necessary or desired). The parties must provide timely notice to investigators if they change advisors at any time.

7. Conflict Resolution and Informal Resolution

Proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during the hearing are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accord with University policy. While the contents of the hearing are private, the parties have discretion to share their own experiences if they so choose and should discuss doing so with their advisors.

a. Conflict Resolution

Conflict Resolution is often used for less serious, yet inappropriate, behaviors and is encouraged as an alternative to the formal hearing process to resolve conflicts. The Equity Resolution Coordinator will determine if conflict resolution is appropriate, based on the willingness of the parties, the nature of the conduct at issue and the susceptibility of the conduct to conflict resolution. In a conflict resolution meeting, a trained administrator will facilitate a dialogue with the parties to an effective resolution, if possible. Sanctions are not possible as the result of a conflict resolution process, though the parties may agree to appropriate remedies. The Equity Resolution Coordinator will keep records of any resolution that is reached, and failure to abide by the accord can result in appropriate responsive actions.

Conflict Resolution will not be the primary resolution mechanism used to address reports of violent
behavior of any kind or in other cases of serious violations of policy, though it may be made available after the formal process is completed should the parties and the Equity Resolution Coordinator believe that it could be beneficial. Mediation will not be used in cases of sexual violence. It is not necessary to pursue conflict resolution first in order to pursue Informal or Formal Resolution, and either party participating in Conflict Resolution can stop that process at any time and request a shift to either Informal or Formal Resolution.

b. Informal Resolution: Resolution Without a Hearing Panel
Informal Resolution [or Resolution Without a Hearing Panel] can be pursued for any behavior that falls within the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination, at any time during the process. This option may be used when a responding party admits responsibility for all or part of the alleged policy violations at any point in the process. If this option is chosen, a formal investigation will not take place. Rather, a review of relevant information will be conducted by the Equity Response Coordinator.

In Informal Resolution, the Equity Resolution Coordinator has the authority to address all collateral misconduct, meaning that they hear all allegations of discrimination, harassment and retaliation, but also may address any additional alleged policy violations that have occurred in concert with the discrimination, harassment or retaliation, even though those collateral allegations may not specifically fall within the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination. Accordingly, informal resolution should be conducted with as wide a scope as necessary.

Any evidence that the Equity Resolution Coordinator believes is relevant and credible may be considered for the purpose of sanctioning, including history and pattern evidence. The Equity Resolution Coordinator may exclude irrelevant or immaterial evidence and may choose to disregard evidence lacking in credibility or that is improperly prejudicial.

Unless the Equity Resolution Coordinator determines it is appropriate, informal resolution and sanctioning will not consider: (1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they show a pattern, (2) the sexual history of the reporting party (though there may be a limited exception made in regards to the sexual history between the parties), (3) or the character of the reporting party. While previous conduct violations by the responding party are not generally admissible as information about the present allegation, the investigators may consider information about previous good faith allegations and/or findings to consider as evidence of pattern and/or predatory conduct.

The Equity Resolution Coordinator will not meet with character witnesses but will accept up to two (2) letters supporting the character of each of the parties. The Equity Resolution Coordinator will base the determination(s) on the preponderance of the evidence, whether it is more likely than not that the responding party violated policy as alleged. The Equity Response Coordinator, in consultation as appropriate, will determine an appropriate sanction or responsive action. If the sanction/responsive action is accepted by both the reporting party and responding party, the Equity Response Coordinator will implement the finding and sanction and act promptly and effectively to stop the harassment or discrimination, prevent its recurrence and remedy the effects of the discriminatory conduct. No appeal is permitted. If either party rejects the sanction/responsive action, a formal hearing will be held on the sanction/responsive action only, according to the Formal Resolution procedures below. If alleged misconduct is resolved at this stage, the Equity Response Coordinator will inform the parties of the final determination within three (3) days of the resolution, without significant time delay between notifications. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or
more of the following methods: in person; mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official University records; or emailed to the parties’ University-issued email account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered. The notification of outcome will specify the sanction on each accepted policy violation. At any point during the Informal Resolution process, including at its conclusion, either party may request that the matter be referred to the Formal Resolution Process for investigation and presentation before a hearing panel.

c. Formal Resolution: Resolution with a Hearing Panel

For all contested allegations that are not resolved through either Conflict Resolution or Informal Resolution, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will initiate a formal hearing panel within ten (10) days of the conclusion of the investigation, barring unusual circumstances.

8. Formal Hearing Panel Procedures

a. Hearing Panels

The Equity Resolution Coordinator will empanel three members from the available pool to form the hearing panel, none of whom have been previously involved with the allegation. An alternate or ERP member in training may sit in throughout the process at the discretion of the Equity Resolution Coordinator. Those who served as investigators will be witnesses in the hearing of the allegation and therefore may not serve as hearing panel members. Those who are serving the parties as advisors, if any, are not eligible to serve as panelists. The panel will meet at a time determined by the Equity Resolution Coordinator.

b. Notice of Hearing

At least five (5) days prior to the hearing, or as far in advance as is reasonably possible if an accelerated hearing is scheduled with the consent of the parties, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will send a letter to the parties with the following information. Once emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered. The letter will contain:

- A description of the alleged violation(s), a list of all policies allegedly violated, a description of the applicable procedures and a statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result.
- The time, date and location of the hearing and a reminder that attendance is mandatory, superseding all other campus activities. If any party does not appear at the scheduled hearing, the hearing will be held in their absence. For compelling reasons, the Equity Resolution Coordinator may reschedule the hearing.
- Notification that the parties may have the assistance of a panel member or other advisor of their choosing at the hearing (See Section 6: “Advisors” above).

Hearings for possible violations that occur near or after the end of an academic term and are unable to be resolved prior to the end of term will typically be held immediately after the end of the term or during the summer, as needed, to ensure as prompt a resolution as possible.

c. Hearing Procedures
Hearing panels will usually be convened within ten (10) business days of the completion of the investigation and will be conducted in private. The panel has the authority to hear all collateral misconduct, meaning that it hears all allegations of discrimination, harassment and retaliation, but also may hear any additional alleged policy violations that have occurred in concert with the discrimination, harassment or retaliation, even though those collateral allegations may not specifically fall within the panel’s jurisdiction. Accordingly, investigations should be conducted with as wide a scope as necessary. *

*The Equity Resolution Coordinator in concert with the Title IX Coordinator will make determinations as to when collateral allegations should be referred to the Student Conduct Office, the Faculty Grievance Review Board or appropriate administrator. The decision to refer will be based on an assessment as to the relevance of the collateral allegation to the discrimination allegation

Participants will include the non-voting Chair, the three (3) members of the panel, the investigator(s) who conducted the investigation, the reporting party, responding party (or three (3) organizational representatives where an organization is charged), advisors to the parties and any called witnesses.

Pre-Hearing

The Equity Resolution Coordinator (and/or their designee) will exchange the names of witnesses who will be participating in the hearing, all pertinent documentary evidence and the full investigation report between the parties at least two (2) days prior to the hearing. Any witness scheduled to participate in the hearing must have been interviewed first by investigators (or have submitted a written statement), unless all parties consent to the participation of that witness in the hearing. In addition, the parties will be given a list of the names of each of the hearing panel members at least two (2) days in advance of the hearing. All objections to any panelist must be raised in writing to the Equity Resolution Coordinator as soon as possible. Hearing panel members will only be unseated if the Equity Resolution Coordinator concludes that their bias precludes an impartial hearing of the allegation. The panelists will be given a list of the names of each party and their witnesses at least two (2) days in advance of the hearing. Any panelist or Chair who cannot make an objective determination must recuse themselves from the proceedings when notified of the identity of the parties and all witnesses in advance of the hearing.

The Equity Resolution Coordinator and Panel Chair, in consultation with the parties and investigators, may decide in advance of the hearing that certain witnesses do not need to be physically present if their testimony can be adequately summarized by the investigator(s) in the investigation report or during the hearing. All parties will have ample opportunity to present facts and arguments in full and question all present witnesses during the hearing, though formal cross-examination is not used between the parties. If alternative attendance or questioning mechanisms are desired, such as the reporting party not wanting to be in the same room as the responding party for the hearing (screens, Skype, questions directed through the Chair, etc.), the parties should request them from the Equity Resolution Coordinator at least two (2) days prior to the hearing. In the case of documented disabilities for which accommodations in the process are necessary, the University will make reasonable accommodations for the parties when requested in advance.

Investigator Presents the Report

Once the procedures are explained and the participants are introduced, the investigator will
present a summary of the report of the investigation first and be subject to questioning by the parties and the panel. The investigator(s) will be present during the entire hearing process but will only be present during deliberations at the request of the Chair. The findings of the investigation are not binding on the panel, though any undisputed conclusions of the investigation report will not be revisited, except as necessary to determine sanctions/responsive actions. Once the investigator(s) present their report and are questioned, the panel will permit the parties to provide relevant information in turn and permit questioning of and by the parties. The panel will then permit all present witnesses to provide relevant information and the panel and the parties will each be allowed to ask questions of the witnesses. Questions are usually directed to the parties and witnesses through the panel at the discretion of the Chair.

Evidence Presented at the Hearing
Formal rules of evidence do not apply. Any evidence that the panel believes is relevant and credible may be considered, including history and pattern evidence. The Chair will address any evidentiary concerns prior to and/or during the hearing, may exclude irrelevant or immaterial evidence and may ask the panel to disregard evidence lacking in credibility or that is improperly prejudicial. The Chair will determine all questions of procedure and evidence. Anyone appearing at the hearing to provide information will respond to questions on his/her own behalf. Unless the Chair determines it is appropriate, no one will present information or raise questions concerning: (1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they show a pattern, (2) the sexual history of the reporting party (though there may be a limited exception made in regards to the sexual history between the parties), (3) or the character of the reporting party. While previous conduct violations by the responding party are not generally admissible as information about the present allegation, the investigators will supply the panel with information about previous good faith allegations and/or findings to consider as evidence of pattern and/or predatory conduct.

There will be no observers in the hearing (except for training purposes approved by all parties). The Chair may allow witnesses who have relevant information to appear at a portion of the hearing in order to respond to specific questions from the panel or the parties involved, and then be excused. The panel does not hear from character witnesses but will accept up to two (2) letters supporting the character of each of the parties.

In hearings involving more than one responding party or in which two (2) or more reporting parties have accused the same individual of substantially similar conduct, the standard procedure will be to hear the allegations jointly; however, the Equity Resolution Coordinator may permit the hearing pertinent to each responding party to be conducted separately. In joint hearings, separate determinations of responsibility will be made for each responding party.

Proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during the hearing are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accord with University policy. While the contents of the hearing are private, the parties have discretion to share their own experiences if they so choose and should discuss doing so with their advisors.

Hearings (except for deliberations) are recorded for purposes of review in the event of an appeal. The parties may not record the proceedings and no other unauthorized recordings are permitted. Panel members, the parties, and appropriate administrative officers of the University will be allowed to listen to the recording in a location determined by the Equity Resolution Coordinator. No
person will be given or be allowed to make a copy of the recording without permission of the Equity Resolution Coordinator.

**Alternative Testimony Options**

For sexual misconduct reports, and other reports of a sensitive nature, the reporting party will be offered alternative testimony options, such as placing a privacy screen in the hearing room or testifying outside the physical presence of the responding party, such as by Skype or phone. While these options are intended to help make the reporting party more comfortable, they are not intended to work to the disadvantage of the responding party.

d. Deliberation and Decisions

The three (3) members of the hearing panel and the non-voting Chair will deliberate in closed session to determine whether the responding party is responsible or not responsible for the policy violation(s) in question. The panel will base its determination(s) on a preponderance of the evidence (i.e., whether it is more likely than not that the responding party committed each alleged violation). If a responding party or organization is found responsible by a majority of the panel, the panel will recommend appropriate sanctions.

The Chair will prepare a written deliberation report and deliver it to the Equity Resolution Coordinator, detailing the finding, the information cited by the panel in support of its finding and any information the hearing panel excluded from its consideration and why. The report should conclude with any recommended sanctions. This report should not exceed two (2) pages in length and must be submitted to the Equity Resolution Coordinator within two (2) business days of the end of deliberations, unless the Equity Resolution Coordinator grants an extension.

The Equity Resolution Coordinator will inform the parties of the final determination – both the finding(s) and applicable sanction(s) within three (3) days of receiving the deliberation report, without significant time delay between notifications. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person; mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official University records; or emailed to the parties’ University‐issued email account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in‐person, notice will be presumptively delivered. The notification of outcome will specify the finding on each alleged policy violation, any sanctions that may result which the University is permitted to share according to state or federal law, and the rationale supporting the essential findings to the extent the University is permitted to share under state or federal law. The notice will also include information on when the results are considered by the University to be final, any changes that occur prior to finalization and any appeals options that are available.

e. Sanctions

The hearing panel assigned to the resolution will assign sanctions or responsive actions when a determination(s) of responsibility has been reached. Factors considered when determining a sanction/responsive action may include:

- The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation
- An individual’s disciplinary history
- Previous allegations or allegations involving similar conduct
- Any other information deemed relevant by the hearing panel
• The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation
• The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation
• The need to remedy the effects of the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation on the reporting party and the community

i. Student Sanctions
The following are the usual sanctions that may be imposed upon students or organizations singly or in combination (a status sanction ranging from Warning to Expulsion will be issued in all cases where a determination of responsibility has been made):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanction Category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Student Status          | • Warning: A formal statement that the behavior was unacceptable and a warning that further infractions of any University policy, procedure or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.  
                           • Probation: A written reprimand for violation of the Code of Student Conduct, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in violation of any University policy, procedure or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be specified and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from co-curricular activities, non-contact orders and/or other measures deemed appropriate.  
                           • Suspension: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years, and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure at the University. This sanction may be noted as a Conduct Suspension on the student’s official transcript, at the discretion of the Equity Resolution and Title IX Coordinators, the Vice President for Student Affairs, the Provost and/or other appropriate administrators.  
                           • Expulsion: Permanent termination of student status, revocation of rights to be on campus for any reason or attend University sponsored events. This sanction will be noted as a Conduct Expulsion on the student’s official transcript. |
| Required Education/Counseling | • Relevant Research Report and/or Assignment(s)  
                                • Required Counseling  
                                • Required Training or Education |
| University Restrictions  | • Prohibition from Representing the University in Student, Staff Leadership Positions, etc.  
                           • Restriction of Contact with specified groups or individuals  
                           • Restriction of Access to Designated University Property, Programs or Activities |
### ii. Employee Sanctions

Responsive actions for an employee who has engaged in harassment, discrimination and/or retaliation include (a status sanction ranging from Warning to Termination will be issued in all cases where a determination of responsibility has been made):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanction Category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Employment Status                 | • Warning  
• Probation  
• Suspension with Pay  
• Suspension without Pay  
• Termination |
| Required Education/Counseling     | • Performance Improvement/Management Process  
• Required Counseling  
• Required Training or Education |
| Employment Restrictions           | • Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility  
• Restriction of Contact with specified groups or individuals  
• Loss of other designated employee privileges |
### Punitive Measures
- Loss of Annual Pay Increase
- Demotion

### Other Actions
- In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, the University may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

---

#### f. Withdrawal or Resignation While Charges Pending

**Students:** The University allows a student to withdraw if that student has an allegation pending for violation of the policy on Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Nondiscrimination. Should a student decide to withdraw and/or not participate in the ERP, the process will nonetheless proceed in the student’s absence to a reasonable resolution and that student will not be permitted to return to the University unless all sanctions have been satisfied. The student will not have access to an academic transcript until the allegations have been resolved.

**Employees:** Should an employee resign with unresolved allegations pending, the records of the Equity Resolution Coordinator and any remaining files in Human Resources will reflect that status, and any University responses to future inquiries regarding employment references for that individual will indicate the former employee is ineligible for rehire. The University may also make additional disclosures as deemed appropriate by the appropriate senior administrators.

#### g. Appeals

All requests for appeal consideration must be submitted in writing to the Equity Resolution Coordinator within three (3) days of the delivery of the written finding of the hearing panel. Any party may appeal the findings and/or sanctions only under the grounds described, below.

The Title IX Coordinator, an Equity Resolution Investigator (who has not been involved in the case previously) and/or the Equity Resolution Coordinator will review the appeal, investigation report, and deliberation report before rendering a decision. Any party may appeal, but appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- A procedural error or omission occurred that significantly impacted the outcome of the hearing (e.g. substantiated bias, material deviation from established procedures, etc.).
- To consider new evidence, unknown or unavailable during the original hearing or investigation, that could substantially impact the original finding or sanction. A summary of this new evidence and its potential impact must be included.
- The sanctions imposed fall outside the range of sanctions the University has designated for this offense and the cumulative record of the responding party.

The appeals panel will review the appeal request(s). The original finding and sanction/responsive actions will stand if the appeal is not timely or is not based on the grounds listed above, and such a decision is final. The party requesting appeal must show that the grounds for an appeal request have been met, and the other party or parties may show the grounds have not been met, or that additional grounds are met. The original finding and sanction are presumed to have been decided reasonably and appropriately. When any party requests an appeal, the Equity Resolution Coordinator will share the appeal request with the other party(ies), who may file a response within three (3) days and/or bring their own appeal on separate grounds within the original timeframe. If new grounds are raised, the original appealing party will be permitted to submit a written response to these new grounds within three (3) days. This response or appeal requests will be shared with each party.
Where the appeals panel finds that at least one of the grounds is met by at least one party, additional principles governing the hearing of appeals will include the following:

- Decisions by the appeals panel are to be deferential to the original decision, making changes to the finding only where there is clear error and to the sanction/responsive action only if there is a compelling justification to do so.
- Appeals are not intended to be full re-hearings (de novo) of the allegation. In most cases, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original hearing, and pertinent documentation regarding the grounds for appeal. An appeal is not an opportunity for appeals panelists to substitute their judgment for that of the original hearing panel merely because they disagree with its finding and/or sanctions.
- Appeals granted based on new evidence should normally be remanded to the original hearing panel or investigators for reconsideration. Other appeals may be remanded at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, or, in limited circumstances, heard by the three-member appeals panel.
- Sanctions imposed as the result of the Formal or Informal Resolution processes are implemented immediately unless the Title IX Coordinator or designee stays their implementation in extraordinary circumstances, pending the outcome of the appeal.
  - For students: Graduation, study abroad, internships/externships, etc. do NOT in and of themselves constitute exigent circumstances, and students may not be able to participate in those activities during their appeal.
- The Title IX Coordinator will confer with the Equity Resolution Coordinator, incorporate the results of any remanded grounds, and render a written decision on the appeal to all parties within three (3) days from hearing of the appeal or remand.
- All parties should be informed of whether the grounds for an appeal are accepted and the results of the appeal decision or remand.
- Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final: further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new hearing).
- All parties will be informed in writing within three (3) days of the outcome of the Appeals Panel, without significant time delay between notifications, and in accordance with the standards for notice of outcome as defined above.
- In rare cases where a procedural [or substantive] error cannot be cured by the original hearing panel (as in cases of bias), the appeals panel may convene a second hearing and fully examine the case. The decision to convene a second hearing panel cannot be appealed. The results of a new hearing can be appealed, once, on any of the three applicable grounds for appeals. Appeals of second hearings will be considered by a two-member committee comprised of appropriate University senior leaders and/or Vice Presidents.
- In cases where the appeal results in reinstatement to the University or resumption of privileges, all reasonable attempts will be made to restore the responding party to their prior status, recognizing that some opportunities lost may be irreparable in the short term.
h. Long-Term Remedies/Actions
Following the conclusion of the Equity Resolution Process and in addition to any sanctions implemented, the Equity Response Coordinator may utilize long-term remedies or actions to stop the harassment or discrimination, remedy its effects and prevent their reoccurrence. These remedies/actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Education to the community
- Permanently altering the housing situation of the responding party (resident student or resident employee (or the reporting party, if desired)
- Permanently altering work arrangements for employees
- Providing campus escorts
- Climate surveys
- Policy modification
- Implementing long-term contact limitations between the parties
- Offering adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

At the discretion of the Equity Resolution Coordinator, long-term remedies may also be provided even when the responding party is found not responsible.

The institution will maintain as confidential any long-term remedies/actions or protective measures, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution’s ability to provide the actions or protective measures.

i. Failure to Complete Sanctions/Comply with Interim and Long-term Remedies/Responsive Actions
All responding parties are expected to comply with conduct sanctions, responsive actions and corrective actions within the timeframe specified by the Equity Resolution Coordinator. Failure to abide by these conduct sanctions, responsive actions and corrective actions by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect or any other reason, may result in additional sanctions/responsive/corrective actions and/or suspension, expulsion and/or termination from the University and may be noted on a student’s official transcript. A suspension will only be lifted when compliance is achieved to the satisfaction of the Equity Resolution and Title IX Coordinators.

j. Records
In implementing this policy, records of all allegations, investigations, resolutions, and hearings will be kept by the Equity Resolution Coordinator indefinitely in hard and electronic copy. Records will be retained at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator based on the severity and complexity of the allegations as well as their resolution status
k. Statement of the Rights of the Parties

Statement of the Reporting Party’s rights:

- The right to investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible allegations of sexual misconduct or discrimination made in good faith to University officials;
- The right to be informed in advance of any public release of information regarding the incident;
- The right not to have any personally identifiable information released to the public, without their consent;
- The right to be treated with respect by University officials.
- The right to have University policies and procedures followed without material deviation;
- The right not to be pressured to mediate or otherwise informally resolve any reported misconduct involving violence, including sexual violence;
- The right not to be discouraged by University officials from reporting sexual misconduct or discrimination to both on-campus and off-campus authorities;
- The right to be informed by University officials of options to notify proper law enforcement authorities, including on-campus and local police, and the option to be assisted by campus authorities in notifying such authorities, if the reporting party so chooses. This also includes the right not to be pressured to report, as well;
- The right to have reports of sexual misconduct responded to promptly and with sensitivity by campus law enforcement and other campus officials;
- The right to be notified of available counseling, mental health, victim advocacy, health, legal assistance, student financial aid, or other student services, both on campus and in the community;
- The right to a campus Restriction of Contact (or a trespass order against a non-affiliated third party) when someone has engaged in or threatens to engage in stalking, threatening, harassing or other improper behavior that presents a danger to the welfare of the reporting party or others;
- The right to notification of and options for, and available assistance in, changing academic and living situations after an alleged sexual misconduct incident, if so requested by the reporting party and if such changes are reasonably available (no formal report, or investigation, campus or criminal, need occur before this option is available).

Accommodations may include:
- Change of an on-campus student’s housing to a different on-campus location;
- Assistance from University support staff in completing the relocation;
- Transportation accommodations;
- Arranging to dissolve a housing contract and pro-rating a refund;
- Exam (paper, assignment) rescheduling;
- Taking an incomplete in a class;
- Transferring class sections;
- Temporary withdrawal;
- Alternative course completion options.

- The right to have the University maintain such accommodations for as long as is necessary, and for protective measures to remain confidential, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution’s ability to provide the accommodations or protective measures;
The right to be fully informed of campus policies and procedures as well as the nature and extent of all alleged violations contained within the report;

The right to ask the investigators to identify and question relevant witnesses, including expert witnesses;

The right to review all documentary evidence available regarding the report, subject to the privacy limitations imposed by state and federal law, at least 48 hours prior to the hearing;

The right to be informed of the names of all witnesses who will be called to give testimony, at least two (2) days prior to the hearing, except in cases where a witness’s identity will not be revealed to the responding party for compelling safety reasons (this does not include the name of the reporting party, which will always be revealed);

The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence;

The right to regular updates on the status of the investigation and/or resolution.

The right to have reports heard by hearing and appeals officers who have received annual sexual misconduct training;

The right to preservation of privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law;

The right to meetings, interviews and/or hearings that are closed to the public;

The right to petition that any University representative in the process be recused on the basis of demonstrated bias and/or conflict of interest;

The right to bring a victim advocate or advisor of the reporting party’s choosing to all phases of the investigation and resolution proceeding;

The right to provide evidence by means other than being in the same room with the responding party;

The right to have the university coordinate the participation of willing student, faculty and staff witnesses, and the opportunity (if desired) to ask questions (indirectly), of all present witnesses including the responding party, and the right to challenge documentary evidence;

The right to be present for all testimony given and evidence presented during any resolution-related hearing;

The right to submit an impact statement in person or in writing to the hearing officers following determination of responsibility, but prior to sanctioning;

The right to be promptly informed of the outcome and sanction of the resolution process in writing, without undue delay between the notifications to the parties;

The right to be informed in writing of when a decision by the University is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is finalized, to be informed of the right to appeal the finding and sanction of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by the University;

Statement of the Responding Party’s rights:

The right to investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible reports of sexual misconduct and/or discrimination made in good faith to University administrators;

The right to be informed in advance, when possible, of any public release of information regarding the report;

The right to be treated with respect by University officials;

The right to have University policies and procedures followed without material deviation;

The right to be informed of and have access to campus resources for medical, health, counseling, and advisory services;
• The right to timely written notice of all alleged violations, including the nature of the violation(s), the applicable policies and procedures and possible sanctions;
• The right to a hearing on the report, including timely notice of the hearing date, and adequate time for preparation; (does not apply to at-will employees)
• The right to review all documentary evidence available regarding the report, subject to the privacy limitations imposed by state and federal law, at least two (2) days prior to the hearing;
• The right to be informed of the names of all witnesses who will be called to give testimony, at least two (2) days prior to the hearing, except in cases where a witness’s identity will not be revealed to the responding party for compelling safety reasons (this does not include the name of the reporting party, which will always be revealed);

• The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence in a campus resolution process;
• The right to have reports heard by hearing and appeals officers who have received annual training;
• The right to petition that any University representative be recused from the resolution process on the basis of demonstrated bias and/or conflict of interest;
• The right to meetings, interviews and hearings that are closed to the public;
• The right to have the university coordinate the participation of willing student, faculty and staff witnesses, and the opportunity (if desired) to ask questions (indirectly), of all present witnesses including the responding party, and the right to challenge documentary evidence;
• The right to have an advisor of their choice to accompany and assist in the campus resolution process;
• The right to a fundamentally fair resolution, as defined in these procedures;
• The right to submit an impact statement in person or in writing to the hearing officers board following any determination of responsibility, but prior to sanctioning;
• The right to a decision based solely on evidence presented during the resolution process. Such evidence shall be credible, relevant, based in fact, and without prejudice;
• The right to be promptly informed of the outcome and sanction of the resolution process in writing, without undue delay between the notifications to the parties;
• The right to be informed in writing of when a decision of the University is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is finalized, to be informed of the right to appeal the [finding and] sanction of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by the University.

9. Disabilities Accommodation in the Equity Resolution Process
BW is committed to providing qualified students, employees or others with disabilities with reasonable accommodations and support needed to ensure equal access to the Equity Resolution Process at the University. Anyone needing such accommodations or support should contact the Director of Disability Services, who will review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation, and the Title IX Coordinator, determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full participation.

10. Revision
These policies and procedures will be reviewed and updated annually by the Title IX and Equity Resolution Coordinators. The University reserves the right to make changes to this document as
necessary and once those changes are posted online, they are in effect. The Title IX Coordinator may make or approve minor modifications to procedure that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party, such as to accommodate summer schedules, etc. The Equity Resolution Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the institutional web site, with appropriate date of effect identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this policy and procedure. Procedures in effect at the time of the resolution will apply to resolution of incidents, regardless of when the incident occurred. Policy in effect at the time of the offense will apply even if the policy is changed subsequently but prior to resolution, unless the parties consent to be bound by the current policy. If government regulations change in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with government regulations in their most recent form. This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such codes generally.
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